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ABSTRACT
Background: Clinical trials have suggested that the intake of soy protein reduces blood cholesterol. Few studies have explored this relation in subjects who consume soy as part of their regular diet.
Objective: In this study, we investigated whether blood cholesterol concentrations are related to the intake of soyfoods in a cohort comprising subjects with a wide variation in soy intake.
Design: This cross-sectional study included 1033 pre- and postmenopausal women selected from the Oxford arm of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. The sample included 361 nonvegetarians, 570 vegetarians, and 102 vegans. Their dietary intake was assessed by using a food-frequency questionnaire. Anthropometric data, medical history, and lifestyle information were obtained with the use of a questionnaire, blood samples were obtained, and plasma total, LDL-, and HDL-cholesterol concentrations were measured.
Results: Soy-protein intake was inversely associated with total and LDL-cholesterol concentrations and with the ratio of total to HDL cholesterol but not with HDL-cholesterol concentrations. Mean plasma LDL-cholesterol concentrations in women with a soy-protein intake ≥6 g/d was 12.4% lower than that in women who consumed <0.5 g/d (P < 0.001).
Conclusion: Moderate intakes of soyfoods as part of a regular diet are associated with favorable blood cholesterol concentrations.
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INTRODUCTION
A high blood concentration of LDL cholesterol is an established risk factor for cardiovascular disease (1). After allowance for measurement error, a reduction in cholesterol concentration of 1 mmol/L is associated with a ≥21% reduction in coronary artery disease risk (2). Although women have a lower risk than do men, the lifetime risk of coronary artery disease in women is still 1 in 3 (3). The delayed development of coronary artery disease in women compared with men may be partly explained by a favorable lipoprotein profile in premenopausal women. This profile changes after menopause, when total and LDL-cholesterol concentrations increase (4).

Blood cholesterol concentrations are influenced by diet. The relation between a high intake of saturated fats and increased blood cholesterol concentrations is well documented (5). Many clinical trials have also suggested that increasing the intake of soy has favorable effects on blood cholesterol. A meta-analysis by Anderson et al (6) from 1995 indicated that a daily intake of 47 g soy protein is associated with a 12.9% reduction in LDL cholesterol. Since then, several trials have been conducted to investigate whether the hypocholesterolemic effect of soy is attributable to the soy protein or the high content of the phytoestrogenic isoflavones in soy. Some studies showed a specific effect of isoflavones on LDL or HDL cholesterol (7–11), whereas others did not (12–18). In a recent meta-analysis, beneficial effects of soy protein on LDL and HDL cholesterol were confirmed; however, no independent effect of soy-associated isoflavones was found (19).

The amounts of soy used in clinical trials have usually been large, typically within the range of 20–60 g soy protein/d. In contrast, few studies have investigated whether blood cholesterol concentrations are related to regular intakes of soy in amounts typical of people’s habitual diet. We investigated the relation between the intake of soy protein and plasma cholesterol concentrations in 1033 pre- and postmenopausal women who participated in the Oxford arm of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. The study sample includes a large proportion of vegetarians and vegans (65%) and involves subjects who consume diets with a wide range of soy content.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS
Subjects
The EPIC-Oxford cohort consists of 65 500 participants aged ≥20 y who were living in the United Kingdom between 1993 and 1999. The aim was to recruit participants with a wide range of diets by targeting vegetarians and vegans as well as the general population.
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UK population. The study protocol was approved by a Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee. Participants were recruited through collaborating general practitioners, vegetarian and vegan societies, vegetarian and health food magazines, and friends or relatives of other participants (20). The proportions of vegetarians and vegans in the EPIC-Oxford cohort were 28.8% and 4.0%, respectively. Participants completed a detailed questionnaire that included questions on medical history, diet, and lifestyle. Diet during the previous 12 mo was assessed by using a 130-item food-frequency questionnaire (FFQ) (20). Blood samples were obtained from 19 700 volunteers.

The present study is based on a sample of 1091 pre- and postmenopausal women who were recruited into the EPIC-Oxford study during 1994 and 1995 and who gave a blood sample (21). The women were selected as being either clearly premenopausal (having ≥10 menstrual periods in the past 12 mo and a most recent menstrual cycle of <40 d) or clearly postmenopausal (having had no menstrual periods in the past 12 mo) and as representing a wide range of dietary habits (meat-eaters, vegetarians, and vegans). The subjects were not taking exogenous sex hormones at recruitment, had not undergone hysterectomy, and had not been diagnosed with cancer of any type. For the present study, we excluded 3 women who did not have data on plasma lipids, 17 women with unreliable nutrient intake data (≥20% of the FFQ questions unanswered or energy intake of <2.1 or >14.7 MJ/d), 7 women who were taking hypolipidemic agents at blood draw or at recruitment, 1 woman with unknown smoking habits, and 30 women with unknown body mass index (BMI; in kg/m²), which left 1033 women for the analysis.

Assessment of soy-protein intake

Two of the questions in the FFQ were directly related to the consumption of soy: 1) what type of milk the subjects consumed most often (soymilk was one of the options) and the amount consumed each day, including milk with tea, coffee, and cereals (0.025, 0.5, 0.75, 1, or >1 pint; 1 pint = 568 mL); and 2) the frequency of consumption of soy foods, such as tofu, soy meat, textured vegetable protein, and veggie burgers (never or <1/mo, 1–3/mo, 1/wk, 5–6/wk, 1/d, 2–3/d, 4–5/d, or ≥6/d). In addition, some subjects indicated that they consumed soy-based substitutes for dairy foods (cream, yogurt, dairy desserts, milk puddings, ice cream, cheese, and cottage cheese), and these intakes were also included in the calculation of soy-protein intake. The daily intake of soy protein was estimated from the reported consumption of soymilk, solid soy foods, and, where applicable, soy “dairy” foods as listed above by using British food-composition tables (22–24). The subjects were categorized into 4 groups of soy-protein intake for the analysis: <0.5, 0.5–2.9, 3.0–5.9, and ≥6.0 g/d. The cutoffs were chosen to give 4 distinct categories of soy-protein intake, each containing a sizeable number of subjects. The same questions in the FFQ were also used to estimate the intake of isoflavones from soyfoods by using data from the US Department of Agriculture–Iowa State University database (25). The estimated intakes of soy protein and isoflavones were highly correlated (r = 0.98), and therefore we used only soy-protein intake as the exposure variable in the analyses.

Measurement of plasma lipids

Blood samples (30 mL) were obtained from the subjects on average 5 mo after they completed the questionnaire. The blood samples were sent via mail to the central laboratory and separated into plasma aliquots, which were frozen at −70 °C and stored until analysis. Plasma lipid concentrations were measured at the Clinical Biochemistry Laboratory, Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Cambridge, United Kingdom. Total cholesterol and triacylglycerol concentrations were measured by using automated enzymatic procedures with reagents supplied by Bayer (Newbury, United Kingdom). LDL cholesterol was calculated according to the Friedewald formula as total cholesterol minus triacylglycerols/2.19 minus HDL cholesterol (26). The interassay CVs were 1.4% and 1.3% at a total cholesterol concentration of 2.6 mmol/L and an HDL-cholesterol concentration of 1.3 mmol/L, respectively.

Other variables

The FFQ was also used to estimate the intake of fats, protein, carbohydrate, cholesterol, fiber (nonstarch polysaccharides), alcohol, and energy by using British food-composition tables (20). Information on age, height, weight, alcohol intake, smoking habits, physical activity, use of hormone replacement therapy, menopausal status, illness, and medications was obtained from the questionnaire.

Statistics

Each of the plasma lipid concentrations (total, LDL, and HDL cholesterol) together with the ratio of total to HDL cholesterol were log-transformed to correct for positive skewness and to make the distributions more nearly normal. The association between each of these variables and soy-protein intake was investigated by using multiple linear regression with adjustment for age (as a continuous variable and including a quadratic term), nondietary factors, BMI, and selected nutrient intakes. The nondietary factors were menopausal status (categorized as pre- or postmenopausal), cigarette smoking (never, former, or current), alcohol consumption (<1, 1–7, 8–15, or ≥16 g ethanol/d), and previous use of hormone replacement therapy (never user or past user). BMI was categorized as <20.0, 20.0–22.4, 22.5–24.9, 25.0–27.4, 27.5–29.9, or ≥30. Partial correlation coefficients were used to explore the association between nutrient intakes and plasma lipid concentrations, with adjustment for age and menopausal status. The nutrients selected for inclusion in the regression analysis were energy, saturated fatty acids (SFAs) as a percentage of energy, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) as a percentage of energy, dietary cholesterol, and nonstarch polysaccharides (NSPs), each as a continuous variable. Diet group was characterized as nonvegetarian (women who ate meat and fish), vegetarian (women who did not eat meat or fish but ate dairy products and eggs), or vegan (women who did not eat any animal products).

Adjusted geometric mean lipid concentrations by soy-protein intake category (<0.5, 0.5–2.9, 3.0–5.9, and ≥6.0 g/d) together with their 95% CIs were calculated from the fitted values arising from the regression models. A test of trend was obtained by substituting the logarithm of soy-protein intake for the categorical soy-protein variable in the regression model. Subset analyses were performed according to menopausal status and diet group. All analyses were performed by using version 8.1 of the STATA statistical package (release 8.0; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX).
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premenopausal women, and postmenopausal women. \( P \) values for trend obtained by replacing the categorical soy-protein intake variable with the logarithm of soy-protein intake in the regression models are also shown in the table.

Soy-protein intake was significantly inversely associated with total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and the ratio of total to HDL cholesterol (\( P \) for trend < 0.01 for each). Compared with the women who consumed <0.5 g soy protein/d, those who consumed \( \geq 6.0 \) g/d had a 7.5% lower mean total cholesterol concentration, a 12.4% lower mean LDL-cholesterol concentration, and a 9.0% lower mean ratio of total to HDL cholesterol (each \( P \) < 0.01). HDL cholesterol was not related to soy-protein intake.

The associations of soy-protein intake with total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and the ratio of total to HDL cholesterol were somewhat stronger in the postmenopausal women than in the premenopausal women although none of these differences was significant. Compared with the premenopausal women who consumed <0.5 g soy protein/d, the premenopausal women who consumed \( \geq 6.0 \) g/d had a 5.4% lower mean total cholesterol concentration (\( P = 0.048 \)), a 9.6% lower mean LDL-cholesterol concentration (\( P = 0.029 \)), and a 7.3% lower mean ratio of total to HDL cholesterol (\( P = 0.093 \)). The corresponding differences for the postmenopausal women were 7.5% (\( P = 0.002 \)), 15.4% (\( P < 0.001 \)), and 12.3% (\( P = 0.020 \)), respectively.

The inverse associations of soy-protein intake with total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and the ratio of total to HDL cholesterol were slightly stronger for the nonvegetarian women than for the either the vegetarian or the vegan women. However, there were no significant interactions between diet group and soy-protein intake in relation to plasma lipid concentrations (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study of 1033 British women, plasma LDL-cholesterol concentrations in the women who reported a soy-protein intake of \( \geq 6 \) g/d were 12.4% lower than those in the women who reported an intake of <0.5 g/d. The association was slightly more pronounced in the postmenopausal women than in the premenopausal women. Similar results were found for total cholesterol and the ratio of total to HDL cholesterol. No association was seen between soy-protein intake and plasma HDL cholesterol.

An important methodologic concern in this study is the validity of the dietary data. The assessment of soy intake was mainly based on questions relating to the intake of soy milk and solid soy foods. We were unable to distinguish between different types of solid soy food (tofu, veggie burgers, etc.), and therefore the amount of soy protein obtained from these foods was imprecise. However, foods that contain a large amount of soy protein are relatively limited, and because there were large differences in dietary intakes in this study, the questions in the FFQ can be reasonably assumed to have been sufficient for classifying subjects into different categories of soy intake. The reported intake of soy in the EPIC-Oxford cohort has also been shown to be strongly correlated with plasma concentrations of the isoflavones daidzein and genistein (27). Our results were adjusted for several potential dietary confounders. However, these variables are also subject to errors in measurement; in a validation study, the Spearman correlation coefficients between the FFQ used in the present study and 16-d weighed records for the intakes of energy and fat were 0.52 and 0.55, respectively (28). In the present study, an average intake of soy protein of 11 g/d was associated with a 12.4% reduction in LDL cholesterol. In the meta-analysis of clinical studies by Anderson et al (6), a similar reduction in LDL cholesterol (12.9%) was associated with a considerably higher intake of soy protein (47 g/d). This suggests that the beneficial effect of soy intake observed in the present study may be partly explained by other dietary factors for which we were unable to fully control.

Few studies have investigated the relation between blood cholesterol and intakes of soy that reflect people’s habitual diet. In
these observational studies, soy was consumed in various forms (eg, as beans, tofu, and soymilk) that differ from the isolated or textured soy protein often used in clinical trials. Besides protein, the soybean contains fiber, fatty acids, and other nutrients and compounds that also may mediate beneficial health effects (29).

In one Japanese study of 4838 subjects, the differences in total cholesterol concentrations between subjects in the highest and lowest quartiles of soy intake were −6% and −5% in men and women, respectively (30). In the Framingham Offspring Study, which included 939 postmenopausal women, no association between isoflavone intake and serum lipoproteins was observed; however, isoflavone intake was significantly inversely related to a measure of the metabolic syndrome (31). A study in California of 208 postmenopausal women showed a positive association of isoflavone intake with HDL cholesterol but no association with total or LDL cholesterol (32). However, isoflavone intakes in the 2 American studies were very low, which may explain why no associations between soy intake and total or LDL cholesterol were found. Our study differs from the previous studies because it involved subjects who lived in a Western country but had a wide range of soy intake. The average soy intake in our study was lower than that in the Japanese study but was considerably higher than that in the American studies. Our study also included both pre- and postmenopausal women, and the associations between soy intake and plasma cholesterol appeared to be slightly stronger among the postmenopausal women than among the premenopausal women. Although we do not know whether this reflects a true difference, the effect of diet on blood lipids may be greater in postmenopausal women because they have higher cholesterol concentrations than do premenopausal women, and the effects of soy have been shown to be more pronounced in subjects with hypercholesterolemia. In addition, in premenopausal women, hormonal influences on blood lipids may overshadow the possible effects of diet (33).

Blood cholesterol concentration is of interest because it is an important risk factor for cardiovascular disease. To our knowledge, only 2 studies have investigated whether soy intake is related to the risk of developing cardiovascular disease. One study including >64,000 women in Shanghai, China, showed an inverse dose-response relation between soy intake and coronary artery disease risk; compared with women in the lowest quartile of soy-protein intake, those in the highest quartile had an adjusted relative risk of 0.25 (95% CI: 0.10, 0.63) (34). In a Japanese study, compared with men and women in the lowest quartile of soy intake, men and women in the highest quartile had adjusted hazard ratios for cardiovascular disease mortality of 0.78 (95% CI: 0.55, 1.12) and 0.90 (95% CI: 0.63, 1.28), respectively (35). Further longitudinal data are evidently needed to establish the effect of soy intake on risks of morbidity and mortality.

A cholesterol-lowering effect of soy has been reported in many clinical trials, and the US Food and Drug Administration currently approves a health claim that consuming 25 g soy protein/d as part of a diet low in saturated fat and cholesterol may reduce the risk of heart disease (36). In our study, very few subjects consumed this amount of soy. However, reduced LDL-cholesterol concentrations were observed in subjects who consumed ≥6 g soy protein/d. The mean intake of soy protein in this group was 11 g/d, an intake that can be achieved by consuming, eg, 100 g tofu and 100 mL soymilk. The present study indicates that moderate intakes of soy foods as part of a regular diet are associated with favorable blood cholesterol concentrations. This may be partly due to a biological effect of soy and partly due to the overall composition of diets with a high soy content.
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